UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,541	01/03/2005	Masahiro Sano	262955US0PCT	2989
22850 7590 03/19/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			GRAY, JILL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/19/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/518,541	SANO ET AL.			
	omoc Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	Jill M. Gray	1774			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 February 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-5,8,9,12,14,15 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-5,8,9,12,14,15 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	t(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/518,541

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

The rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent abstract 63-309273 (Miyahara) in view of Japanese Patent abstract 10-072313 (Horiike) is withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-9, 12, 14-15, 1and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a reactive organic compound having a reactive group as disclosed on page 4, line 22 through page 5, and line 4 and page 7, line 2 through page 8, and line 2, of the specification, does not reasonably provide enablement for the broad language of "hydrophilic compound having a polymerizable vinyl group" or "monomer containing any of a hydroxyl group, a carboxylic group, an amino group, a sulfonic group, and a phosphate group" or "hydrophilic compound having an epoxy group" or "compound having an aziridine group" or "compound containing an isocyanate group or a precursor thereof" or "a high molecular compound containing an ether, acryl, urethane, amide or ester group". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. More specifically, the language referenced above is broad and encompasses a host of reactive organic compounds not disclosed in the specification.

Art Unit: 1774

There is no evidence on this record that any of the multiplicity possible reactive organic compounds would function as intended in the presently claimed invention. Therefore, the specification is not commensurate in scope with the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, 9, 12, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neuhauser 3,194,732 in view of Japanese Patent Abstract 07-246234 (the abstract).

Neuhauser teaches sheets for wound dressings comprising eggshell membranes which can be coated onto fabrics, or woven and non-woven materials, wherein the membranes may be bonded to the fibrous substrates through adhesive compositions such as compositions containing pectin, gelatin, starch and starch derivatives, vegetable gums and innocuous adhesive materials. See column 3, lines 50-73. Neuhauser does not teach that the eggshell is water-soluble.

The abstract teaches the outstandingly enhancement of a sheet used for treatment of a wound by depositing a soluble eggshell membrane on the surface of said sheet.

It would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Neuhauser by using as the eggshell membrane and soluble eggshell membrane as taught in the abstract, motivated by the ability to outstandingly enhance the resultant sheet for wound dressing.

Art Unit: 1774

Regarding claim 3, it is the position of the examiner that since the result sought and the ingredients used were known, it was within the expected skills of one having ordinary skill in this art to arrive at the optimum proportion of those ingredients.

Accordingly, the proportions of ingredients would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as claimed in claim 3 was made. *In re Reese*, 129 USPQ 402 (CCPA 1961).

Therefore, the combined teachings of Neuhauser and the abstract would have rendered obvious the invention as claimed in present claims 1, 3-5, 9, 12, and 19-20.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jill M. Gray Primary Examiner Art Unit 1774

jmg